Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. 56 (1997) [193-196]

ON A BANACH SPACE WITHOUT A WEAK MID-POINT LOCALLY UNIFORMLY ROTUND NORM

ZHIBAO HU, WARREN B. MOORS AND MARK A. SMITH

In this paper we show that (i) l^{∞} does not admit an equivalent weak mid-point locally uniformly rotund norm and (ii) l^{∞}/c_0 does not admit an equivalent rotund norm.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [3], Lindenstrauss showed that l^{∞} does not admit an equivalent weakly locally uniformly rotund norm. In this paper, we refine his argument to show that l^{∞} does not even admit an equivalent weak mid-point locally uniformly rotund norm. In addition, our argument also shows that l^{∞}/c_0 does not admit an equivalent rotund norm, a result previously proven by Bourgain in [2]. We say that a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on a Banach space Xis rotund if each point of the unit sphere S(X) is an extreme point of the closed unit ball B(X). Further, we say that a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is weak mid-point locally uniformly rotund (or weak MLUR for short) if for each $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and each sequence $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $X, h_n \to 0$ weakly whenever $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x \pm h_n\| = \|x\|$. In [4], it is shown that a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is weak MLUR if and only if each point of S(X) (when considered as a subset of the second dual ball) is an extreme point of the second dual ball.

THEOREM.

- (i) l^{∞} does not admit an equivalent weak MLUR norm;
- (ii) l^{∞}/c_0 does not admit an equivalent rotund norm.

PROOF: (i) Let $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denote the usual sup norm on l^{∞} and let $\|\cdot\|\|$ denote any equivalent norm on l^{∞} . By the support of $x \in l^{\infty}$ we mean the set $\sigma(x) \equiv \{k \in \mathbb{N} : x(k) \neq 0\}$. Let $F_0 \equiv \{x \in l^{\infty} : \|x\|_{\infty} = 1 \text{ and } \mathbb{N} \setminus \sigma(x) \text{ is infinite}\}$. Let $m_0 \equiv \inf\{\|\|x\|\| : x \in F_0\}$ and $M_0 \equiv \sup\{\|\|x\|\| : x \in F_0\}$. As $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ is an equivalent norm on l^{∞} , $0 < m_0 \leq M_0 < \infty$. We proceed by induction.

Received 1 October 1996

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/97 \$A2.00+0.00.

STEP 1. Choose $x_1 \in F_0$ so that $(3M_0 + m_0)/4 \leq |||x_1|||$ and choose distinct integers i_1 and $j_1 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \sigma(x_1)$. Then define $F_1 \equiv \{x \in F_0 : x \text{ agree with } x_1 \text{ on } \sigma(x_1) \cup \{i_1, j_1\}\}$ and set $m_1 \equiv \inf\{||x||| : x \in F_1\}$ and $M_1 \equiv \sup\{||x||| : x \in F_1\}$.

Now, after the first n steps of the induction, we shall have constructed elements $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq F_0 \subseteq l^{\infty}$, non-empty subsets $F_n \subseteq F_{n-1} \subseteq \ldots F_1 \subseteq F_0$, positive real numbers $m_0 \leq m_1 \leq \ldots \leq m_{n-1} \leq m_n \leq M_n \leq M_{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq M_1 \leq M_0$ and distinct positive integers $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n, j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n\}$ such that, for each k, $(1 \leq k \leq n)$;

- (a) $x_k \in F_{k-1}, \ (3M_{k-1} + m_{k-1})/4 \leq |||x_k|||;$
- (b) $\sigma(x_k) \cap \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k, j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_k\} = \emptyset;$
- (c) $F_k \equiv \{x \in F_0 : x \text{ agrees with } x_k \text{ on } \sigma(x_k) \cup \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k, j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_k\}\};$
- (d) $m_k \equiv \inf\{|||x||| : x \in F_k\}$ and $M_k \equiv \sup\{|||x||| : x \in F_k\}.$

STEP n+1. Choose $x_{n+1} \in F_n$ so that $(3M_n + m_n)/4 \leq |||x_{n+1}|||$ and choose distinct integers i_{n+1} and $j_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus (\sigma(x_{n+1}) \cup \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n, j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n\})$. Then define $F_{n+1} \equiv \{x \in F_0 : x \text{ agrees with } x_{n+1} \text{ on } \sigma(x_{n+1}) \cup \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{n+1}, j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{n+1}\}\}$ and set $m_{n+1} \equiv \inf\{|||x||| : x \in F_{n+1}\}$ and $M_{n+1} \equiv \sup\{|||x||| : x \in F_{n+1}\}$. This completes the induction.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $h_n \in l^{\infty}$ by

$$h_n(k) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \in \{i_n, i_{n+1}, \ldots\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also define $x_{\infty} \in l^{\infty}$ by

$$x_{\infty}(k) \equiv \left\{egin{array}{ll} x_n(k) & ext{if } k \in \sigma(x_n) ext{ for some } n \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{array}
ight.$$

It is readily verified that x_{∞} is well-defined and that $x_{\infty} \in \bigcap \{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is also clear that $x_{\infty} \pm h_{n+1} \in F_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, choose $f \in (l^{\infty})^*$ so that $f(h_1) = ||f||_{\infty} = 1$ and f(y) = 0 for each $y \in c_0$. Clearly, for such an element f, we have that $f(h_n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We complete the proof of part (i) by showing that $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_{\infty} \pm h_n|| = ||x_{\infty}||$. To see this, observe that $2x_n - F_n \subseteq F_n$ for each n. This, of course, implies that $||2x_n - y|| \leq M_n$ for each $y \in F_n$, and this in turn implies that $3M_{n-1}/2 + m_{n-1}/2 \leq ||2x_n|| \leq M_n + ||y||$ for each $y \in F_n$. Now, by taking the infimum over $y \in F_n$, we get that $3M_{n-1}/2 + m_{n-1}/2 \leq M_n + m_n \leq M_{n-1} + m_n$ and so $(M_{n-1} + m_{n-1})/2 \leq m_n \leq M_n \leq M_{n-1}$.

Therefore, $0 \leq ||||x_{\infty} \pm h_{n+1}||| - |||x_{\infty}||| | \leq M_n - m_n \leq (M_{n-1} - m_{n-1})/2$, since $x_{\infty} \pm h_{n+1}$ and $x_{\infty} \in F_n$. Hence, by induction, $0 \leq ||||x_{\infty} \pm h_{n+1}||| - |||x_{\infty}||| | \leq (M_0 - m_0)/2^n$; which shows that $\lim_{n \to \infty} |||x_{\infty} \pm h_n||| = |||x_{\infty}|||$.

(ii) Let $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ be any equivalent norm on l^{∞}/c_0 and let $\pi: l^{\infty} \to l^{\infty}/c_0$ denote the usual quotient mapping. We apply the construction from part (i) to the equivalent norm (on l^{∞}) $\|x\| \equiv \|x\|_{\infty} + \||\pi(x)\||$. Indeed, from part (i) we have the existence of an element $x_{\infty} \in l^{\infty}$ and a sequence $\{h_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq l^{\infty}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{\infty} \pm h_n\| =$ $\|x_{\infty}\|, \|x_{\infty} \pm h_n\|_{\infty} = \|x_{\infty}\|_{\infty} = 1$ for all n and $\pi(h_n) = \pi(h_1) \neq 0$ for each n. Therefore, $\||\pi(x_{\infty})\|\| = \||\pi(x_{\infty}) \pm \pi(h_1)\||$; which shows that the $\||\cdot\||$ norm on l^{∞}/c_0 is not rotund.

COROLLARY. l^{∞} cannot be equivalently renormed so that its unit sphere (considered as a subset of the second dual ball) is an extremal subset of its second dual ball.

PROOF: Suppose to the contrary that such a norm exists. Call it $\|\cdot\|_1$ say. Let $\|\cdot\|_2$ be any equivalent rotund norm on l^{∞} and define $\||\cdot\|| : l^{\infty} \to R$ by $\||x\|| \equiv \|x\|_1 + \|x\|_2$. It is easy to check that each point of the unit sphere of the $\||\cdot\||$ norm is an extreme point of its second dual ball and so the $\||\cdot\||$ norm is weak MLUR. But this contradicts the above Theorem. Therefore, no such norm exists.

REMARK. If $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ is an equivalent Kadec norm then its unit sphere is an extremal subset of its second dual ball. Hence, l^{∞} does not admit an equivalent Kadec norm.

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF: It has recently come to the attention of the authors that the paper [1] contains a proof of the fact that l^{∞} does not admit an equivalent weak mid-point locally uniformly rotund norm.

References

- G.A. Aleksandrov and I.P. Dimitrov, 'On the equivalent weakly midpoint locally uniformly rotund renorming of the space l[∞]', (in Russian), in Mathematics and Mathematical Education (Sunny Beach, 1985), pp. 189-191.
- [2] J. Bourgain, 'l[∞]/c₀ has no equivalent strictly convex norm', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1980), 225-226.
- [3] J. Lindenstrauss, 'Weakly compact sets their topological properties and the Banach spaces they generate', Ann. of Math. Studies 69 (1972), 235–273.
- [4] M.A. Smith, 'A curious generalisation of local uniform rotundity', Comment Math. Univ. Carol 25 (1984), 659-665.

Division of Mathematics Science and Exss El Paso Community College Valle Verde Campus PO Box 20500 El Paso Texas 79998 United States of America Department of Mathematics The University of Auckland Private Bag 92019 Auckland New Zealand Department of Mathematics and Statistics Miami University Oxford Ohio 45056 United States of America