# Monday: Induction

#### Mathematical Induction [3.1, 3.2]

Mathematical Induction is a process we may use to prove that some statement  $P_n$  is true for all natural numbers n.

**Example 1.** Find  $|\mathcal{P}(\{1,2,\ldots,n\})|$  for the first few values of  $n=1,2,3,\ldots$  and form a conjecture on what the size of this set is for arbitrary n.

**Example 2.** Calculate  $n^2 + n + 41$  for the first few values of n = 1, 2, 3, ... and form a conjecture on whether or not  $n^2 + n + 41$  is prime for arbitrary n.

*Induction* is the process of inferring that something will be true in the future because it has always been true so far. While this idea may be the best bet for scientific theories, the second example above shows it cannot be used to prove things mathematically.

A proof by mathematical induction, showing that the statement  $P_n$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , must establish that

- $P_1$  is true (this is called the *base case*); and
- for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $P_n$  is true then  $P_{n+1}$  is true (this is called the *inductive step*, and the hypothesis  $P_n$  of this implication is called the *inductive hypothesis*).

**Example 3.** Prove by induction that if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $P_n$  be the statement  $\sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ .

**Base case:** when n = 1 we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{1} i = 1 = \frac{1(1+1)}{2}$ .

**Inductive step:** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose that  $P_n$  is true. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i + (n+1)$$

$$= \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + n + 1$$

$$= \frac{n(n+1) + 2(n+1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$$

So  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = \frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)}{2}$ , so  $P_{n+1}$  is true.

Hence, by induction,  $P_n$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 4.** Prove by induction that if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and A is a set with n elements then  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  has  $2^n$  elements.

Solution. See 3.2.1 and 2.5.7.

**Example 5.** Find a formula for  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2$  and show that your formula holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Tuesday: Further examples of induction

For  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we say that m divides n, written  $m \mid n$ , if there is some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with n = ma. For example, n is even if  $2 \mid n$ .

Important: don't mix "m divides n", which is a statement, with "m divided by n" which is a number. [The distinction is like the distinction between the statement "Mary is a mother" and the person "Mary's mother".]

**Example 6.** Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $6 \mid n^3 - n$ .

**Example 7.** Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $3 \mid 8^n - 5^n$ .

**Example 8.** Show that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n < 2^n$ .

Sometimes we wish to show that  $P_n$  is true for all  $n \ge 2$  or for all  $n \ge 17$  or something. In this case we make the base case n = 2 or n = 17 instead of n = 1.

**Example 9.** Suppose a post shop only stocks postage stamps in two denominations: 30 cents and 80 cents. Suppose also that all postage rates are multiples of 10 cents. Show that for any postage rate over \$1.40 we can buy stamps for that rate.

*Proof.* We are being asked to prove that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq 14$  there exist integers  $m, k \geq 0$  with 3m+8k=n. We prove this by induction on n. So let  $P_n$  be the statement that there exist  $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $m, k \geq 0$  and 3m+8k=n

**Base case:** The base case is n = 14.  $P_14$  is true because  $14 = 2 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 8$ .

Inductive step: Suppose  $n \ge 14$  and  $P_n$  is true. Then there exist  $m, k \ge 0$  so that n = 3m + 8k. If k > 0, put k' = k - 1 and m' = m + 3: then 3m' + 8k' = 3(m + 3) + 8(k - 1) = 3m + 8k + 9 - 8 = n + 1 as required. If k = 0 and m > 4, put k' = k + 2 and m' = m - 5: then 3m' + 8k' = 3(m - 5) + 8(k + 2) = 3m + 8k - 15 + 16 = n + 1, as required. So the only problem occurs if k = 0 and  $m \le 4$ . But this cannot happen because then we would have  $n = 3m + 8k \le 12$ , and we assumed that  $n \ge 14$ .

# Thursday: Complete Induction

### Complete Induction [3.3]

In the examples considered so far we have deduced  $P_{n+1}$  from  $P_n$ . As an alternative, we may use Complete Induction. To prove by complete induction that  $P_n$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have to prove

- $P_1$  is true; and
- if  $P_j$  is true for all  $1 \le j < n$  then  $P_{n+1}$  is true.

**Example 10.** Prove that every integer greater than 1 can be written as a product of (one or more) prime numbers.

**Example 11.** Prove that every natural number can be written as a sum of distinct powers of 2.

**Example 12.** Consider the following algorithm. We start with some number n. If n = 1, we stop. If  $n = 2^k$  for some k, we replace our value of n with k. Otherwise we replace it with n + 1. For example, if we start with n = 6 we get the values 6, 7, 8, 3, 4, 2, 1. If we start with n = 28 we get 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 5, 6, 7, 8, 3, 4, 2, 1.

Prove that whatever natural number we start with, this algorithm will terminate in some finite number of steps.

## Friday: Relations

#### Relations, ordered pairs and cartesian products

Consider the guests at a party: some of the people know each other, others do not. We could form the set of all pairs (x,y) such that x knows y's name. For example, if Mark and Sarah know each other, then we would include the pair (Mark, Sarah) in our set and also the pair (Sarah, Mark). Note that we are using round brackets (,) rather than curly brackets  $\{,\}$  because the order of the elements in the pair matters. Although  $\{1,2\} = \{2,1\}, (1,2) \neq (2,1)$ . [Unfortunately, this means that we have two possible meanings for (x,y) when  $x,y \in \mathbb{R}$ : either the ordered pair of x and y, or the open interval of all z with x < z < y. We will try to make it clear which one we mean at any given time.]

Returning to the party example, note that we could have a situation where x knows y's name but y does not know x's name, for example if y is someone famous or x is someone forgetful.

**Definition.** Let A and B be sets. The cartesian product of A and B,  $A \times B$ , is the set

$$A \times B = \{ (a, b) : a \in A, b \in B \}.$$

**Example 13.** Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}, B = \{a, b\}.$  Find  $A \times A, A \times B, B \times A$  and  $B \times B$ .

**Definition.** Let A and B be sets. A relation from A to B is a subset of  $A \times B$ . A relation on A is a subset of  $A \times A$ .

We often use *infix* notation for relations: in other words, if  $\rho$  is our relation, instead of writing  $(x,y) \in \rho$  we write  $x \rho y$ , and instead of  $(x,y) \notin \rho$  we write  $x \rho y$ . We often use the symbol  $\sim$  for a relation on a set A.

**Definition.** Let  $\rho$  be a relation on a set A. We say that  $\rho$  is

- reflexive if for all  $a \in A$ ,  $a \rho a$ .
- symmetric if for all  $a, b \in A$ , if  $a \rho b$  then  $b \rho a$ .
- antisymmetric if for all  $a, b \in A$ , if  $a \rho b$  and  $b \rho a$  then a = b.
- transitive if for all  $a, b, c \in A$ , if  $a \rho b$  and  $b \rho c$  then  $a \rho c$ .

**Example 14.** Let A be the set of people at a party. Let  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be the following relations:  $x \rho y$  if x knows y's name;  $x \sigma y$  if x is older than y; and  $x \tau y$  if x is taller than y. Which, if any, of these properties are  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  likely to have? Note that the definitions are a bit vague: is it possible for two different people to be the same age, or the same height? You will have to make some guesses about how well the people at the party know each other too.

**Example 15 (4.1.10).** Consider the following relations. Which, if any, of the four properties do these relations have?

- 1.  $A = \{p : p \text{ is a person in Alaska }\}, x \sim y \text{ if } x \text{ is at least as tall as } y.$
- 2.  $A = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \sim y$  if x + y is even.
- 3.  $A = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \sim y$  if x + y is odd.
- 4.  $A = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}), x \sim y \text{ if } x \subseteq y.$
- 5.  $A = \mathbb{R}, x \sim y \text{ if } x = 2y.$
- 6.  $A = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \sim y$  if x y is rational.

**Example 16.** Recall that for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \mid n$  if there is some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with n = ma. Show that  $\mid$  is reflexive and transitive and not symmetric. Show that  $\mid$  is not antisymmetric on  $\mathbb{Z}$  but is antisymmetric on  $\mathbb{N}$ .