

## Tuesday: Linear Diophantine equations and cancellation laws

### Linear Diophantine equations

A *Diophantine equation* is an algebraic equation (e.g.  $ax^2 + bx + cy = d$ ) in which the coefficients ( $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  and  $d$ ) are integers, and for which we seek integer solutions  $x$  and  $y$ . We will consider the special case of *linear* Diophantine equations, which are of the form

$$ax + by = c, \quad (*)$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ : we seek all integers  $x$  and  $y$  satisfying the equation (\*). Of course, if  $x$  and  $y$  were allowed to be real numbers, then (\*) would be the equation of a straight line: we ask when this straight line intersects the lattice of points  $\mathbb{Z}^2 = \{(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . In general, a straight line could intersect  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  in no points (e.g.  $y = x + \sqrt{2}$ ), in one point (e.g.  $y = \sqrt{2}x$ , which intersects  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  only at the point  $(0, 0)$ ) or infinitely often (e.g.  $y = x$ ). When we insist on integer coefficients only the first and the third possibilities occur.

We will ignore the case when  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ : that case is easy to deal with. So for the rest of this section we will assume that  $a, b \neq 0$ . Put  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . We know that  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ , so for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $d \mid ax + by$ . Thus if (\*) has a solution, we must have  $d \mid c$ : if  $d \nmid c$  then no solution is possible.

**Example 1.** *The equation  $2x + 4y = 3$  has no solutions: if  $x$  and  $y$  satisfied the equation, then the left hand side would be even but the right hand side would be odd.*

So suppose that  $d \mid c$ , in other words  $c = dq$  for some  $q$ . Now, we know that there exist  $x_d, y_d \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $d = ax_d + by_d$ . Multiplying by  $q$  we get  $dq = ax_dq + by_dq$ , i.e.  $c = a(x_dq) + b(y_dq)$ . Thus  $(x_dq, y_dq)$  is a solution of (\*).

**Example 2.** *Find a solution to the equation  $4x + 7y = 13$ .*

What about the general solution? What happens if we try to prove the solution is unique?

Suppose that  $(x, y)$  and  $(x', y')$  are solutions. Then we have

$$ax + by = c = ax' + by',$$

so  $a(x - x') + b(y - y') = 0$ , or  $a(x - x') = b(y' - y)$ . Does this imply that  $x - x' = y' - y = 0$ ? No, it only implies that the number  $a(x - x')$  is a common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$ . If  $m$  is any common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$ , say  $m = ra = sb$ , then we can put  $x' = x - r$ ,  $y' = y + s$  to get

$$a(x - x') + b(y - y') = a(x - (x - r)) + b(y - (y + s)) = ar - bs = m - m = 0,$$

as required. So the general solution is given by  $x = x_d - m/a$ ,  $y = y_d + m/b$ , where  $m$  is a common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$ . Note that  $m$  is a common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$  if and only if  $\text{lcm}(a, b) \mid m$ . So the general solution is  $x = x_d - tl/a$ ,  $y = y_d + tl/b$ , where  $l = \text{lcm}(a, b)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Also, from Assignment 6, Question 3 we know that  $ld = ab$ , so  $l/a = b/d$  and  $l/b = a/d$ . Combining these facts we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a, b \neq 0$ . Put  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ , and fix  $x_d, y_d \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $d = ax_d + by_d$ . Then the equation  $ax + by = c$  has no integer solutions if  $d \nmid c$ , and has the general solution  $x = x_d - ta/d$ ,  $y = y_d + tb/d$  for  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  if  $d \mid c$ .

**Example 4.** Find the general solution of the Diophantine equation  $4x + 7y = 13$ .

**Example 5.** Find the general solution of the Diophantine equation  $6x - 15y = 27$ .

## Cancellation laws

In  $\mathbb{Z}$  we have two cancellation laws: “if  $a + c = b + c$  then  $a = b$ ” and “if  $ac = bc$  and  $c \neq 0$  then  $a = b$ ”. The first is easy to prove from the axioms: if  $a + c = b + c$  then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a + c) + (-c) &= (b + c) + (-c) \\ a + (c + (-c)) &= b + (c + (-c)) && \text{(associative law)} \\ a + 0 &= b + 0 && \text{(definition of } -c) \\ a &= b && \text{(definition of 0)} \end{aligned}$$

However, we don't have multiplicative inverses as we do additive inverses. Of course we could jump outside  $\mathbb{Z}$  and into  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and multiply both sides by  $\frac{1}{c}$ , but that relies on other things, not on the axioms for the integers. To get the cancellation law from the axioms alone, we would have to do a little work. One way to prove it would be to prove by induction that the result holds for all  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ , and then extend the result to negative values of  $c$ . We will leave this as an exercise.

## Wednesday: Congruence Modulo $n$

When we considered equivalence relations we had as an example the relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by declaring that for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$m \sim n \iff 5 \mid m - n.$$

We showed that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. This relation is called *congruence modulo 5*. In general, if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we say that  $a$  and  $b$  are congruent modulo  $n$  if  $n \mid a - b$ : we write this relation  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ . This relation is an equivalence relation for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The set of equivalence classes is called the *integers modulo  $n$* , written  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we call the equivalence class of  $a$  under congruence modulo  $n$  the *congruence class* of  $a$ , and denote it by  $\bar{a}$ .

**Example 6.** Fix  $n = 5$ . Find  $\bar{0}$ ,  $\bar{1}$ ,  $\bar{10}$  and  $\bar{16}$ .

**Lemma 7.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  iff  $a$  and  $b$  give the same remainder when divided by  $n$ .

From this we know that there are exactly  $n$  congruence classes in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , because there are  $n$  possible remainders  $0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ . So we have

$$\mathbb{Z}_n = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}.$$

The set  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  inherits some properties from  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The most important is that we can define addition and multiplication on  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  in a natural way.

**Definition.** We define the operations  $+_n$  and  $\cdot_n$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  by declaring that, for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\bar{a} +_n \bar{b} = \overline{a + b} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{a} \cdot_n \bar{b} = \overline{ab}.$$

Of course we can write down any definition we like: we could define  $n$  to be the least positive solution of the equation  $x = x + 1$ . . . . For this definition to make sense we have to make sure that the operations are *well-defined*. For example, with  $n = 5$ , consider finding  $\overline{3} +_5 \overline{7}$  and finding  $\overline{18} +_5 \overline{22}$ . We have

$$\overline{3} +_5 \overline{7} = \overline{3 + 7} = \overline{10} = \overline{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{18} +_5 \overline{22} = \overline{18 + 22} = \overline{40} = \overline{0}.$$

Thus we get the same answer both times. This is just as well, because  $\overline{3} = \overline{18}$  and  $\overline{7} = \overline{22}$ , so we were doing the same sum in both cases.

For the definitions of  $+_n$  and  $\cdot_n$  to make sense, we must ensure that if  $\overline{a} = \overline{a'}$  and  $\overline{b} = \overline{b'}$  then we get the same answer when we work out  $\overline{a} +_n \overline{b}$  and when we work out  $\overline{a'} +_n \overline{b'}$ , and similarly for  $\cdot_n$ . In other words, we must show that if  $a \equiv a' \pmod{n}$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{n}$  then  $a + b \equiv a' + b' \pmod{n}$  and  $ab \equiv a'b' \pmod{n}$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $a, b, a', b' \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $a \equiv a' \pmod{n}$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{n}$  then  $a + b \equiv a' + b' \pmod{n}$  and  $ab \equiv a'b' \pmod{n}$ .*

To understand what we have done we should see an example where the operations would not be well defined.

**Example 9.** *Partition  $\mathbb{Z}$  into the three sets  $\Omega = \{A, B, C\}$*

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \mathbb{N} \\ B &= \{0\} \\ C &= \{-n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

*We try to define addition  $+'$  and multiplication  $\cdot'$  by taking a representative from the two classes we are adding, adding or multiply together the representatives, and finding the equivalence class of the answer. For example we have  $A \cdot' B = B$  because  $n \cdot 0 = 0 \in B$  for every  $n \in A$ , and  $A \cdot' C = C$  because  $m \cdot (-n) = -(mn) \in C$  for every  $m \in A$ ,  $-n \in C$ . However, addition is **not** well-defined: when we try to find  $A +' C$  we could get the answer  $A$  (for example by choosing the representatives 8 and  $-3$ ),  $B$  (e.g. by choosing 6 and  $-6$ ) or  $C$  (e.g. by choosing 5 and  $-12$ ). The answer we get depends not just on the classes but on which representative of the classes we choose.*

What can we say about arithmetic modulo  $n$ ? We know that the operations  $+_n$  and  $\cdot_n$  are commutative and associative, and  $\cdot_n$  distributes over  $+_n$ . To show the last one, let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{a} \cdot_n (\overline{b} +_n \overline{c}) &= \overline{a \cdot_n (\overline{b} +_n \overline{c})} \\ &= \overline{a(b + c)} \\ &= \overline{ab + ac} \\ &= \overline{ab} +_n \overline{ac} \\ &= \overline{a} \cdot_n \overline{b} +_n \overline{a} \cdot_n \overline{c}. \end{aligned}$$

The commutative and associative laws follow similarly from the commutative laws and associative laws for  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

## Thursday: Division in $\mathbb{Z}_n$

### The cancellation laws in $\mathbb{Z}_n$

Recall that in  $\mathbb{Z}$  we have two cancellation laws:  $a + c = b + c$  implies  $a = b$ , and  $ac = bc$  implies  $a = b$  for  $c \neq 0$ . The first of these laws carries over to  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , because we can use the same argument as we did for  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

the element  $\bar{a}$  has an additive inverse  $-\bar{a}$ . However, the cancellation law for  $\cdot_n$  does not always work. For example, fix  $n = 12$ . Then we have  $\bar{3} \cdot_{12} \bar{4} = \bar{12} = \bar{0}$ , and  $\bar{6} \cdot_{12} \bar{4} = \bar{24} = \bar{0}$ , so  $\bar{3} \cdot_{12} \bar{4} = \bar{6} \cdot_{12} \bar{4}$ , but  $\bar{3} \neq \bar{6}$ .

The problem is that we cannot divide both sides of the equation  $\bar{3} \cdot_{12} \bar{4} = \bar{6} \cdot_{12} \bar{4}$  by  $\bar{4}$ . What would division mean? When might division work? What should  $\frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{b}}$  mean when  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ?

In  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the fraction  $\frac{a}{b}$  is the unique solution  $x$  of the equation  $a = bx$ . So the problem becomes the question of whether the equation  $\bar{a} = \bar{b} \cdot_n \bar{x}$  has a unique solution  $\bar{x}$ . In general, this equation could have no solutions, a unique solution, or more than one solution.

**Example 10.** Consider the equation  $\bar{6} = \bar{4} \cdot_n \bar{x}$ . Show that this equation has

- no solutions when  $n = 8$
- two solutions when  $n = 10$
- a unique solution when  $n = 15$ .

Now, if  $\bar{a} = \bar{b} \cdot \bar{x}$  has a solution  $\bar{x}$ , then  $a \equiv bx \pmod{n}$ , so  $a = bx + ny$  for some  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From our discussion of Diophantine equations, we know this happens if and only if  $\gcd(b, n) \mid a$ . In particular, if  $\gcd(b, n) = 1$ , then this equation has a solution for all  $a$ . Further, the solution will be unique:

**Theorem 11.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $b$  and  $n$  are relatively prime then the equation  $\bar{a} = \bar{b} \cdot_n \bar{x}$  has a unique solution  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

**Corollary 12.** If  $p$  is a prime number then for every  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  the equation  $\bar{a} = \bar{b} \cdot_p \bar{x}$  has a unique solution in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Thus, division works in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  just the same as it does in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ . We will return to this example, which is an example of a *field*, when we discuss the axioms for the real numbers in Chapter 8.

## Friday: Class Test