- **1.** (10 marks)
 - (a) We want to show that for all M > 0, there exists N = N(M) > 0, such that for all x > N, we have f(g(x)) > M. Let M > 0 be given. Since lim_{y→∞} f(y) = ∞, there exists K > 0 such that for all y > K, f(y) > M.
 Since lim_{x→∞} g(x) = ∞, there exists H > 0 such that for all x > H, g(x) > K.
 Let x > H be given. Since g(x) > K, f(g(x)) > M. Therefore we choose N to be H. For all x > N, we have f(g(x)) > M.
 - (b) We want to show that for all M > 0, there exists N = N(M) > 0, such that for all x > N, we have (f + g)(x) > M. Since $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty$, there exists $K_1 > 0$ such that for all $x > K_1$, f(x) > M. Since $\lim_{x\to\infty} g(x) = \infty$, there exists $K_2 > 0$ such that for all $x > K_2$, g(x) > M. Let $N = \max(K_1, K_2) > 0$. For all x > N, f(x) + g(x) > M + M > M.
 - (c) We want to show that for all M > 0, there exists N = N(M) > 0, such that for all x > N, we have kf(x) > M. Let M > 0 be given. Since $\lim_{y\to\infty} f(y) = \infty$, if $M_1 > 0$ is chosen, there exists H > 0 such that for all y > H, $f(y) > M_1$. Suppose x > H.

$$kf(x) > kM_1 = M$$

if we choose M_1 so this happens, i.e. $M_1 = M/k$. Taking N to be H, for all x > N, we have kf(x) > M.

- (d) We want to show that for all M < 0, there exists N = N(M) > 0, such that for all x > N, we have -f(x) < M. Let M < 0 be given. But since $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty$, there exists K > 0 such that for all x > K, f(x) > -M. For all x > K, -f(x) < M. Taking N to be K, for all x > N, we have -f(x) < M.
- (e) We want to show that for all M > 0, there exists N = N(M) < 0, such that for all x < N, we have f(-x) > M. Let M > 0 be given. But since $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty$, there exists K > 0 such that for all x > K, f(x) > M. Take N to be -K, for all x < N, we have f(-x) > M.