

NB: Please deposit your solutions in the appropriate box **by 4 p.m. on the due date**. Late assignments or assignments placed into incorrect boxes will not be marked. Use a mathematics department cover sheet. These are available from outside the Resource Centre. **PLEASE SHOW ALL WORKING.**

1. $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\phi, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}.$

$$\mathcal{P}(B) = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{P}(A) \cap \mathcal{P}(B) = \{\phi, \{1\}\} = \mathcal{P}(A \cap B) \text{ since } A \cap B = \{1\}.$$

$\mathcal{P}(A) \cup \mathcal{P}(B) \neq \mathcal{P}(A \cup B)$ because $\{0, 3\} \in \mathcal{P}(A \cup B)$ but $\{0, 3\} \not\subseteq A$ and $\{0, 3\} \not\subseteq B$, so $\{0, 3\} \notin \mathcal{P}(A) \cup \mathcal{P}(B)$.

(1) $\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y) \implies X \subseteq Y.$

Assume $\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)$. Then every subset of X (including X itself) is a subset of Y .

(2) $\mathcal{P}(X) \neq \mathcal{P}(Y) \implies X \neq Y.$

The contrapositive is $X = Y \implies \mathcal{P}(X) = \mathcal{P}(Y)$ which is obviously true.

$\mathcal{P}(X) \subset \mathcal{P}(Y) \implies X \subset Y.$

Assume $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a proper subset of $\mathcal{P}(Y)$. Then (by definition) $\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{P}(X) \neq \mathcal{P}(Y)$. (1) implies that $X \subseteq Y$ and (2) implies that $X \neq Y$. Thus (by the definition again) $X \subset Y$ as required.

2. (a) $C \subseteq B \implies A \times C \subseteq A \times B$

Assume $C \subseteq B$. We need to show that $p \in A \times C \implies p \in A \times B$. By the definition of Cartesian product, $p \in A \times C$ is an ordered pair (x, y) with $x \in A$ and $y \in C$. But $y \in B$ since $C \subseteq B$, so (by the definition of Cartesian product again), $p \in A \times B$ as required.

Picture: see attached page.

(b) Picture: see attached page.

The real number a is related to the real number b if and only if $a - b$ is an even natural number.

Relation	Reflexive	Symmetric	Antisymmetric	Transitive
a	F	F★	T	T
b	F	F	T★	T
c	F	T	F	F
d	F	T	F	F★
e	T★	F	T	T

3.

Relation (a) is not symmetric.

Counterexample: Let $a = 1$ and $b = 2$. Then $a \not\sim b$ since $a - b = -1 \notin \mathbb{N}$. However $a \sim b$ since $b - a = 1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Relation (b) is antisymmetric.

Proof: Assume (for a contradiction) that $a \sim b$ and $b \sim a$. Then each is a proper subset of the other; in particular, each is a subset of the other. This implies (using the definition of subset) that $x \in a \iff x \in b$. In other words, $a = b$. But $a \sim b$ implies that a is a *proper* subset of b , so $a \neq b$. This contradiction implies that NO sets satisfy the hypothesis in the definition of antisymmetric. (Look it up!) So the definition is (vacuously) satisfied.

Relation (d) is not transitive.

Counterexample: Let $a = c = 1$, and let $b = -1$. Then $a \sim b$ (since $1 + -1 = 0$) and $b \sim c$. However $a \not\sim c$ (since $1 + 1 \neq 0$), so the relation is not transitive.

Relation (e) is reflexive.

Proof: Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $a - a = 0 < 1$, so $a \sim a$ as required.

Note: The last row of the table is a bit of a trick question. We first rewrite the relation's definition, by noting that (because it is a relation on the INTEGERS) $a - b < 1 \iff a - b \leq 0 \iff a \leq b$. So the relation is just the usual total ordering on the integers. This would not be true if we used the same rule to define a relation on \mathbb{R} . The set a relation applies to is an important part of the relation's definition. Brownie points if you saw this!

4. The pairs given in S yield the first lattice diagram on the attached page. To give a reflexive relation, we must add the pairs $(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4)$ and $(5, 5)$. To be transitive, the relation must contain $(4, 2)$. (Look at the picture.)

So the minimal relation is $T = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 1), (4, 2), (4, 3), (4, 4), (5, 2), (5, 5), \}$.

Note: there should be exactly this 10 pairs.

One total order is given by adding the pairs $(4, 5), (1, 5), (1, 3), (5, 3)$ and $(3, 2)$. (The corresponding ordering is- listing the elements in order- 41532. Check that it is compatible with the previous lattice diagram.)

This gives

$U = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 5), (2, 2), (3, 2), (3, 3), (4, 1), (4, 2), (4, 3), (4, 4), (4, 5), (5, 2), (5, 3), (5, 5)\}$.

Note that this relation has 15 ordered pairs; 5 to make it reflexive plus $(5 \times 4)/2$ to ensure that all pairs of distinct elements can be compared.